(*b*) whether the officer has appealed from the order to the Government of India and if so, whether his appeal has been forwarded by the State Government ;

(*c*) the terms upon which the present Inspector-General of Police has been appointed ?

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) Yes ; Sri N. V. Babu Reddy was reverted from the post of Inspector-General of Police in Mysore to that of Deputy Inspector-General of Police, because Government found him to be unfit to be continued as the Head of the Police Department.

(*b*) Yes—and the appeal memo has been forwarded by the State Government to the Government of India.

(*c*) The present Inspector-General of Police has been appointed on payment of the differenee between the pay he drew as Inspector-General of Police, Madras, and the pension admissible to him on superannuation.

Q.—417. Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Malur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) when the present Inspector-General of Police was appointed ;

(*b*) the terms of appointment ;

(*c*) his salary ;

(*d*) the special reasons for the selection from outside the State ?

A.— Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) In May 1954.

(*b*) and (*c*) On payment of the difference between the pay that the officer drew as I.G.P., Madras, and the pension admissible to him on superannuation.

(*d*) Government considered it necessary in the interests of the Department.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know how long this officer has been I.G.P. ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—From March 1952 to April 1954.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—When did the Government find him to be unfit to be continued as the Head of the Police Department ?

* Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—At the time they passed the orders.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether any charge has been framed against this officer ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That question, Sir, does not arise for the reason that the appeal is before the Central Government now.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—My question is, whether any charges have been framed against this officer before his reversion ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Reversion did not require any charges being framed, because the officer was only acting. He was not a permanent officer there and so there was no reversion as such.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—My question was whether and if so why Sri Babu Reddy has been reverted from the post of I.G. of Police to that of Deputy Inspector-General of Police and the answer given is : " Yes, Sri N. V. Babu Reddy was reverted from the post of Inspector-General of Police in Mysore to that of Deputy Inspector-General..."

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes, it was only an acting promotion given to him, as I already said.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—But the answer to a supplementary given was that there was no question of reversion and therefore I read out the answer given to my question. As the answer indicates that no charges have been framed, may I know whether it is the opinion of the Hon'ble Minister or whether it is the finding of the Government that that particular officer has been found to be unfit to be continued as the Head of the Police Department ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—It is stated in the answer: " Government found him to be unfit."

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether the finding of the Government has been recorded anywhere or whether it is the opinion formed by the Government collectively or by the Ministers individually and that is expressed by way of answer to my question ?

* Indicates that the speech has not been revised by the Member concerned.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That is the decision of the Government.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—When was the appeal preferred by this officer forwarded to the Government of India ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In the month of September 1954.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—When was the appeal preferred ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It was received by the Government in the month of June 1954.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether any comments have been made by the State Government upon the appeal forwarded to the Government of India ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I do not know whether it would be right on my part to make a statement, however much I wish to, because the matter is on appeal. I do not know whether I am quite right in making it known.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—I am merely asking whether any comments have been made or whether the appeal is forwarded without comment to the Government of India ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Of course, with comments we have forwarded

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What are the materials upon which the Government came to the finding that the officer is unfit to be continued as the Head of the Department ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Again, Sir, all these things are contained in the reply the Government have sent to the Government of India and I do not think it will be in public interest to disclose all this at this stage, because the appeal is before the Government of India.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The entire matter is under investigation by the Government of India. Details need not be gone into now.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Is it because of inefficiency or disobedience of orders, he is found to be unfit ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.— Again, I am not able to give those. . . .

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Has the Hon'ble Minister no reasons to give ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have reasons. But I do not know whether I am right in placing them before this House at this stage.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Will there be anything wrong in indicating the reason without disclosing the reasons which prompted the Government to find him unfit ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Because those reasons are for determination by the Government of India.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—May I know why the Government imported an officer from outside to man this high post in the State ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It is not a question exactly of importing anybody. There is an all-India cadre and he belonged to that cadre.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Had that officer retired from service in that State ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Yes.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What was the salary that he was being paid in Madras, because you have not given that here ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—In Madras at the time he retired from service he was drawing Rs. 2,750. According to the terms of the contract, we have to pay him whatever he was getting in Madras minus the pension he gets from the Madras Government. The pension he is entitled to per month is presumed to be Rs. 791—10—8 and excluding that the Government will pay him the balance.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What is the salary Sri Babu Reddy is given ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Tentatively Rs. 1,250 per month pending finalisation of it by the Government of India.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Are the Government now satisfied that by having him as the head of the Department, their object is achieved ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I am not able to follow the Hon'ble Member.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—My question is, you have appointed this officer in the interest of the Department as you have stated in the answer. Are the Government satisfied that by this costly appointment they have achieved their object ? By this appointment of an outsider, is not the Police Department demoralised, Sir ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No, Sir.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Has it not caused demoralisation among the highly placed officers of the Police Department and has it not affected the morale of other officers also ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am in a position definitely to say that it has distinctly improved the morale of the Department.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Has it come to the notice of the Government that, after this promotion, thefts and other offences are on the increase in all the districts, Sir ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—So far as that position is concerned, there is another interpellation. I am making a fuller statement. It is not true to connect this appointment with the alleged increase in the offences.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—That has nothing to do with these thefts.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not that Sri N. V. Babu Reddy was considered as one of the most efficient officers of the Police Department from the beginning of his service ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Again, Sir, if these questions are to be answered we have to disclose all the charges that have been made against him and I must inform the Hon'ble Members of this House who are very enthusiastic about this question that this question cannot be answered without disclosing all the charges pending ; apart from this question of reversion that has taken place. there are other charges pending against him. In his own interest and in the interest of service, it is better that the Members do not probe into the matter.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—The Hon'ble Chief Minister is so solicitous about the services and he does not want to give out the reasons because it is not in the interest of the services to give out reasons. Would it not have been discreet for the Government not to have used the word ' unfit ' in the open House ? When once you condemn him, why don't you give reasons ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Giving a decision is quite different from giving reasons.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—You have given the decision and I want to know what are the reasons that led you to that decision ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Even that is under the examination of the Government of India. That word has been used in the Government order and has therefore been referred to.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—When you award a conviction the reasons for which that conviction was awarded must also be given. The appeal is there and the appellate authority is looking into the word ' unfit ' and it also looks into the reasons.

Sri B. V. NARAYANA REDDY.—Was he awarded the Gold Medal from the Central Government because he was unfit ?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Would the Government give any instance when he was found unfit in his lower cadre or any instance when he was judged as inefficient when he was in the lower rungs of the Department in his career as Probationary A.S.P. and later as D.S.P. and D.I.G ? Was there any remark of that kind during his 20 years' service ?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The service register will disclose all that.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Again, Sir, I wish I was in a position to inform the Hon'ble friend of the reasons he wanted to know. What he says may find a place in the records.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—I am not concerned with ' may '; can this Government state whether there are any such remarks as ' unfit ' made during his career apart from the opinion of the present Government ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I am not going to disclose what is in the confidential reports of the officer, Sir.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Will the cadre of the I.G.P. in Mysore be the same hereafter as the present I.G.P. ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—This is a matter which, as I have already told you, is before the Government of India; they are finalising what the cadre of the I.G.P. should be in Mysore ; it has not yet been decided.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Were not all the previous I.G.Ps. as efficient as

(SRI A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.)

the present incumbent whose efficiency is exhibited ever since his appointment?

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—That has nothing to do with the question of efficiency. Question-hour is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY.

(But not taken up.)

Public Service Commission (Taking a Scheduled Caste Member).

Q.—105. Sri B. T. KEMPARAJ (Bangalore South—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

whether they have been thinking of taking a Scheduled Caste Member on the Public Service Commission ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

There is no such idea at present. Under Section 3 of the Mysore Public Service Commission Regulation, the Commission shall consist of the Chairman and two other members and they are already there.

PANEL OF CHAIRMEN.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—Under Rule 6 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in the Mysore Legislative Assembly, the following persons will constitute the panel of Chairmen for this Session of the Legislative Assembly:—

Sri D. Devaraja Urs,
,, B. T. Kemparaj,
,, B. Hutche Gowda,
,, B. Narayanaswamy.

RECOMMENDATION BY RAJPRAMUKH.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—I have received the following order from the Rajpramukh :

" In pursuance of clause (1) of Article 207 of the Constitution of India, I, Jaya Chamaraja Wadiyar, Rajpramukh of Mysore, do hereby recommend that the Mysore Revenue Laws (Extension to Bellary) Bill, 1954, be introduced and moved in the Mysore Legislative Assembly."

ADJOURNMENT MOTION.

Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY (Chitaldrug).—Mr. Speaker, I have sent an adjournment motion.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—You have sent an adjournment motion and it will be taken up tomorrow.

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government and Public Health).—Sir, I beg to introduce :

(i) The Mysore Laws (Extension to Bellary) Bill, 1954.
(ii) The Mysore Revenue Laws (Extension to Bellary) Bill, 1954.
(iii) The Mysore Revision of State Laws Bill, 1954.
(iv) The Mysore Tenancy (Second Amendment) Bill, 1954.
(v) The Mysore Board of Revenue Bill, 1954.
(vi) The Madras Tenants and Ryots Protection (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1954.

PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE.

Madras Tenants and Ryots Protection (Mysore Amendment) Ordinance, 1954.

Sri T. CHANNIAH (Minister for Local Self-Government and Public Health).—Sir, I lay on the Table the Madras Tenants and Ryots Protection (Mysore Amendment) Ordinance, 1954.

PRESIDING OFFICER'S CONFERENCE.

Mr. DEPUTY SPEAKER.—The Secretary will now read the Proceedings of the Presiding Officers' Conference.